

Title: Learning Styles

Student Name: Patrick

Student ID:

Name of the course:

Submission date:

Learning styles are the focus of interest in pedagogy today. They represent individual differences in preferences for perceiving and processing information and knowledge. They are a sort of representation of different preferences or tendencies that a learner is going to adopt while acquiring knowledge. The importance of learning styles lies in the fact that they help to adapt the methods of education according to needs, making effective learning maximally possible. The knowledge of learners' preference for one approach over others, either visual, auditory, reading/writing, or kinesthetic, can make the teaching/learning process more effective and appropriate. Knowing learning styles is not a question of catering to preferences but instead of setting up a flexible and inclusive education environment (Amponsah, 2020). The essay will define and debate the importance of learning styles, explore the well-known models introduced by Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory, the VARK model, and Honey and Mumford's Learning Styles, and discuss these models' limitations and criticisms. In so doing, it shall illuminate how learning styles can enhance educational practice while considering the problematic and criticized issues associated with its application (Balla, 2021).

A learning style refers to learning, apprehending, and remembering information. In simple terms, it relates to various preferences and tendencies that influence an individual to know in one way rather than another. James and Gardner described it well: "complex manner in which learners most effectively perceive, process, store, and recall what they are attempting to learn." This definition clearly states that learning styles are not about any affinity for visual or auditory material but how the person uses such complex methods for engaging and internalizing new knowledge (Estrada Guillén et al., 2019).

How Learning Styles Can Enhance Learning: Developing educational methods that suit individual learning styles can facilitate this learning process. This will improve academic results, promote self-awareness, and develop effective learning strategies (Fleming & Mills, 2022).

**Recognizing Individual Differences:** Knowing one's learning style allows one to spot effective methods. Some learners may understand better from visual aids, while some might learn through activities. This awareness of picking learning techniques suited to their needs and style makes learning more accessible and more effective (Cuevas, 2015).

**Better Educational Outcomes:** Teachers who acknowledge and cater to learning styles in their students' repertoire of learning strategies are better placed in terms of improved educational

outcomes. If instructional strategies diversify to include visual, auditory, reading/writing, and kinesthetic activities, all students are better positioned to learn and retain information (Chetty et al., 2019). This makes the classroom setting more inclusive for different learning needs, which are always at work.

**Greater Self-Awareness:** Informed use of learning styles encourages learners to become more aware of their strengths and areas of weakness. Self-awareness is critical in personal growth because it equips one with the sense to apply strategies that work on the strengths while improving the weak areas (Chiu, 2019). For instance, students aware that they do not respond well to traditional lectures will provide additional diagrams or hands-on sessions for better understanding.

**It Can Provide New Approaches:** Knowing the learning style can expose the learner to new approaches and techniques they would not have otherwise used. For example, a strong visual can learn that auditory methods, such as listening to recorded lectures or discussing topics for better understanding with friends, will work well in their studies. This flexibility can bring variety into the learning, making the education more complete (Gardner, 2020).

**Energizing the Learning Process:** Once learning is personalized to match individual styles, it can be more exciting and less tedious. Instructors can vary their instructional techniques and include numerous activities to make learning events more lively and engaging. This will help sustain student interest and motivation levels essential to successful learning (Honey & Mumford, 2021).

**Understanding Learning Styles to Overcome Weaknesses:** This learning style model will help learners and educators realize and address specific weaknesses. For example, students with high visual ability and poor auditory reception can adapt to listening skills through exercises and focused practice. In this manner, learners can increase their adaptability in learning contexts and become more accomplished (Olsson et al., 2020).

Learning styles improve the learning process by providing a framework for individual and practical education. Indeed, learning styles can potentially change the traditional educational process into fostering lifelong learning, as they identify personal preferences, improve academic outcomes, enhance self-awareness, provide new approaches, energize the learning process, and overcome weaknesses (Li et al., 2016). It helps to bring in knowledge from various theories and models, such

as Kolb's, Honey and Mumford's, Felder and Silverman's, and the VARK model, to deepen our understanding and application of learning styles within educational settings.

Following are a few influential models of learning styles to provide a comprehensive understanding of diverse educational approaches.

**Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory:** Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory is learning theory which holds that learning is the process whereby knowledge is created through the transformation of experience. Kolb advocated that effective learning will ensue if a person progresses through a cycle of four stages: Concrete Experience, Reflective Observation, Abstract Conceptualization, and Active Experimentation. This cyclical model suggests that learners must be involved in a concrete experience, reflect on this experience, form abstract concepts based on the reflection, and then test these concepts in new situations--thereby creating new experiences (Kolb, 2021).

The importance of Kolb's model is that this is a holistic model of learning, including the integration of experience, perception, cognition, and behavior. It also finds broad application in the educational sphere to develop curricula stimulating active learning and fostering critical thinking. For example, considering the case of a business school, students may be given actual business problems to solve, where the process involves reflecting on their answers and then applying theoretical principles to enhance improvement in the approach iteratively. Such an iterative process enables enhanced understanding and practical application in action that prepares the student to cope with life.

**VARK Model:** According to Neil Fleming, the VARK model, based on learning preferences, falls into four basic categories: Visual, Auditory, Reading/Writing, and Kinesthetic (Olsson et al., 2020). The visual learner will prefer information in its graphical forms, while the auditory learner needs to hear it; the reading/writing learner requires text-based input, and the kinesthetic learner learns through hands-on experiences and activities (Gardner, 2020).

It is precisely because the VARK model is so simple that it is usable and, hence, has gained popularity as a tool for teachers and learners to identify and put individual preferences in learning to use. In classrooms, the VARK model can be applied in tailoring the instructional strategies for divergent needs of students (Shirazi & Heidari, 2019). This would include arranging multimodal lessons with visual aids, group discussions, reading assignments, and interactive activities. This

inclusive approach allows all students to engage with the material in a way that best fits their preferred learning style, increasing understanding and retention (Pashler et al., 2008).

**Honey and Mumford's Learning Styles:** Peter Honey and Alan Mumford developed Honey and Mumford's Learning Styles model, which describes four different learning styles: Activist, Reflector, Theorist, and Pragmatist. Activists learn best from active involvement and new experiences. Reflectors prefer to observe and then reflect on what has been observed before reaching conclusions. Theorists respond best to logical analysis and systematic planning. Pragmatists like to apply learning practically, so they experiment with ideas (Huang et al., 2020).

Honey and Mumford's model is a practical framework for personal development and continuous learning. It tends to help individuals understand their style and preferences for learning, which would enhance self-directed learning and professional growth in a big way. For example, in the workplace, they may be combined with the activities in a training program to address all four learning styles, thus allowing staff to approach the content in the best way possible. This model supports effective learning and a culture of adaptability and continuous improvement (Honey & Mumford, 2021).

Each model contributes to understanding how people learn differently and shows that personalized, adaptable approaches are needed in education. Understanding and applying these theories will help the teacher and learner recognize factors that work together in learning and thus manage the learning process effectively, achieving the best outcomes and lifelong learning (Pashler et al., 2023).

Following this, a critical evaluation of these learning style models' limitations is given below to assess their effectiveness and applicability.

Despite gaining wide acceptance and application, educational researchers and practitioners have heavily criticized models of learning styles. A primary distinction in this critique is between preferences and fixed characteristics. Critics argue that one of the main flaws of learning styles is the confusion between preferred ways of learning and traits of an immutable nature, whereby a person can learn effectively only according to his preferred style. What is completely ignored here is a learner's capacity for adaptation and the possibility of achieving mastery in different learning methods (Sweet & Michaelsen, 2023).

Furthermore, styles are always subject to context in that a given style will be helpful only depending on the subject matter, learning environment, and particular tasks. While a visual approach may be appropriate for understanding complicated diagrams in a science class, an auditory approach best suits language learning. This argues against this view of learners having one dominant style applied universally (Usman & Tasya, 2020).

Another criticism is the risk of an over-exclusive focus on strengths. With all the emphasis on learning preferences, there is the risk that areas where learners struggle will be overlooked. This can lead to a narrow vision in learning, where learners do not strive to develop a complete range of skills (Willingham et al., 2015). For example, when a student identifies as a kinesthetic learner, he might shy away from reading and writing activities and miss essential literacy skills. Learners should be encouraged to embrace various learning experiences that make them resilient and versatile.

These limitations can be significant in the context of business education. Business students must acquire many skills to work in diverse and dynamic environments. In business practice, adaptability remains paramount when professionals must respond to changes in the market, new technologies, and organizational challenges. Overreliance on one learning style may affect this adaptability, making adjusting to a new situation or demand hard (Yılmaz & Kaf, 2019).

An essential aspect of holistic development in business education is addressing weaknesses. Students who understand their preferred learning styles and strive to improve less preferred styles can become more successful and well-rounded professionals. A student who is an excellent analyst, being one of the theorists according to Honey and Mumford's model, maybe a poor orator, a function typical for activists. At the same time, this student must develop communication skills to be an effective leader. Such weaknesses, if ignored, may result in a lopsided skill set that would be inadequate to face the multifaceted demands of business environments (Sweet & Michaelsen, 2023).

Moreover, learning styles often foster a fixed mindset in learners—that their abilities are relatively static rather than dynamic. This may significantly harm personal and professional development since it deters individuals from forming new skills and approaches. Business education should instead emphasize the cultivation of a growth mindset, encouraging students to develop the

capacity to embrace challenges and consider failures as learning opportunities (Shirazi & Heidari, 2019).

Ultimately, while models of learning styles are instrumental in pointing out individual preferences, their limitations must be acknowledged. The distinction between preferences and fixed characteristics, the context-dependent nature of learning, and the risks associated with focusing on strengths alone raise significant criticisms that cannot easily be dismissed (Pashler et al., 2008). For business students, these models, in particular, should be used as just one tool for promoting a well-rounded, adaptive, resilient approach toward learning. By overcoming these limitations, educators can bring learners a greater awareness and sensitivity to the complexity and challenge of business.

This essay has considered learning styles and how best to improve educational practice. It is regarded as several learning models developed, including Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory, the VARK learning model, and Honey and Mumford's Learning Styles. Their contribution towards appreciating the concept of learning styles and different learning preferences is invaluable. Each of these modes opens up the scope for a scheme where the instructions can be matched against individual needs, thus potentially improving educational outcomes' quality and enhancing learners' self-awareness. However, the limitations of such models—that equate confusing preferences with fixed traits, context-dependent learning, and the risks of overemphasizing strengths—need to be considered. Thus, these critics consider the necessity of balance in encouraging adaptability and a growth mindset. Ultimately, while learning styles provide valuable insight into individualizing instruction, considering their limitations can go a long way in creating a more holistic and dynamic learning experience.

## References

- Amponsah, S., 2020. Exploring the dominant learning styles of adult learners in higher education. *International Review of Education*, 66(4), pp.531-550.
- Balla, A., 2021. Explicit technique for teaching writing to students with sociological learning style. *International Journal in Applied Linguistics of Parahikma*, pp.1-15.
- Chetty, N.D.S., Handayani, L., Sahabudin, N.A., Ali, Z., Hamzah, N., Rahman, N.S.A. and Kasim, S., 2019. Learning Styles and Teaching Styles Determine Students' Academic Performances. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 8(4), pp.610-615.
- Chiu, S.K., 2019. Innovative experiential learning experience: Pedagogical adopting Kolb's learning cycle at higher education in Hong Kong. *Cogent Education*, 6(1), p.1644720.
- Cuevas, J., 2015. Is learning styles-based instruction effective? A comprehensive analysis of recent research on learning styles. *Theory and Research in Education*, 13(3), pp.308-333.
- Estrada Guillén, M., Monferrer Tirado, D. and Moliner Tena, M.Á., 2019. The relation between learning styles according to the whole brain model and emotional intelligence: a study of university students. *ESE. Estudios sobre educación*.
- Fleming, N.D. and Mills, C., 2022. *VAR K: A Guide to Learning Styles*. 2nd ed. London: VARK Publishing.
- Gardner, H., 2020. *Frames of Mind: The Theory of Multiple Intelligences*. 5th ed. New York: Basic Books.
- Honey, P. and Mumford, A., 2021. *The Learning Styles Questionnaire*. 4th ed. Maidenhead: Peter Honey Publications.
- Huang, C.L., Luo, Y.F., Yang, S.C., Lu, C.M. and Chen, A.S., 2020. Influence of students' learning style, sense of presence, and cognitive load on learning outcomes in an immersive virtual reality learning environment. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 58(3), pp.596-615.



- Kolb, D.A., 2021. *Experiential Learning: Experience as the Source of Learning and Development*. 3rd ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education.
- Lang, M., 2023, July. Learning Styles and On-Line Learning Analytics: An Analysis of Student Behaviour Based on the Honey and Mumford Model. In *International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction* (pp. 154-166). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Li, Y., Medwell, J., Wray, D., Wang, L. and Liu, X., 2016. Learning styles: A review of validity and usefulness. *Journal of Education and Training Studies*, 4(10), pp.90-94.
- Olsson, C., Lachmann, H., Kalén, S., Ponzer, S. and Mellstrand Navarro, C., 2020. Personality and learning styles in relation to attitudes towards interprofessional education: a cross-sectional study on undergraduate medical students during their clinical courses. *BMC medical education*, 20, pp.1-8.
- Pashler, H., McDaniel, M., Rohrer, D. and Bjork, R., 2008. Learning styles: Concepts and evidence. *Psychological science in the public interest*, 9(3), pp.105-119.
- Pashler, H., McDaniel, M., Rohrer, D. and Bjork, R., 2023. *Learning Styles: Concepts and Evidence*. New York: Routledge.
- Shirazi, F. and Heidari, S., 2019. The relationship between critical thinking skills and learning styles and academic achievement of nursing students. *Journal of Nursing Research*, 27(4), p.e38.
- Sweet, M. and Michaelsen, L.K. eds., 2023. *Team-based learning in the social sciences and humanities: Group work that works to generate critical thinking and engagement*. Taylor & Francis.
- Usman, O. and Tasya, S., 2020. The effect of e-learning, learning style, and learning interest on student's learning motivation. *Learning Style, and Learning Interest on Student's Learning Motivation* (July 9, 2020).
- Willingham, D.T., Hughes, E.M. and Dobolyi, D.G., 2015. The scientific status of learning styles theories. *Teaching of Psychology*, 42(3), pp.266-271.

Yılmaz, Ö.U. and Kaf, Ö., 2019. The effects of creative drama method on students' attitude towards social studies with respect to learning styles. *Cukurova University Faculty of Education Journal*, 48(2), pp.1340-1366.